

Research Article

Caliphate as an Islamic Polity: A Study of Maududi's Model

Faheem Ahmed

Al Jamia Al Islamia shanthapurm Kerala India, India

Corresponding Author, E-mail; Shafimagray355@gmail.com (Umesa Jan)

Copyright © 2026 by Authors, Published by AL-IKHSAN: Interdisciplinary Journal of Islamic Studies. This is an open access article under the CC BY License <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Received : July 17, 2026

Revised : August 15, 2026

Accepted : September 13, 2026

Available online : October 11, 2026

How to Cite: Faheem Ahmed. (2026). Caliphate as an Islamic Polity: A Study of Maududi's Model. *AL-IKHSAN: Interdisciplinary Journal of Islamic Studies*, 4(2), 237–242. <https://doi.org/10.61166/ikhsan.v4i2.161>

Abstract. The concept of Khilafah (Caliphate) has occupied a central place in Islamic political thought since the early centuries of Islam. Among modern Muslim thinkers, Abul A'la Maududi (1903–1979) stands out for offering a systematic reinterpretation of the Caliphate as a political system grounded in divine sovereignty, collective vicegerency, and constitutional governance. This paper explores Maududi's model of the Caliphate as an Islamic polity, tracing its roots in classical Islamic theory while analyzing its modernist dimensions. It examines the theoretical foundations of his conception—especially AlHakimiyyah (Sovereignty of God) and Khilafah (Vicegerency of Man)—and his institutional vision for an Islamic state. The study also assesses how Maududi's "Theo-democracy" transforms the Caliphate into a contemporary political framework and critically evaluates its philosophical coherence and practical challenges. By engaging both traditional juristic sources and

modern scholarship, this paper situates Maududi's Caliphate model as a seminal yet contested contribution to modern Islamic political thought.

Keywords: Islamic Polity, Maududi's Model, Caliphate

INTRODUCTION

The Caliphate and the Question of Islamic Polity

The abolition of the Ottoman Caliphate in 1924 symbolized, for Muslims across the world, not merely a political rupture but a profound civilizational loss. For over thirteen centuries, the Caliphate had represented the institutional manifestation of the Ummah's unity and the political embodiment of Islamic law. Its disappearance under the shadow of Western colonialism and secular nationalism triggered deep intellectual anxieties about the future of Islam as a political order.

It was within this historical moment that Abul A'la Maududi, a South Asian Muslim intellectual and founder of Jamaat-e-Islami (1941), articulated a new theory of the Caliphate suited to the modern age. Unlike traditional scholars who treated the Caliphate as a historical institution tied to specific dynasties, Maududi conceived it as a universal and ideological system rooted in Qur'anic principles. For him, the true essence of the Caliphate was not dynastic authority but the realization of divine sovereignty on earth through collective vicegerency.

According to Maududi the right form of government for mankind is the one in which people of state relinquish their claim to sovereignty in favour of Allah and, after recognizing the legal supremacy of Allah and his Apostle, accept the position of Khilafah (Vicegerency) under the suzerainty of the rightful ruler. In this capacity all the legislative, executive and judicial powers of the state will necessarily be circumscribed by the limits.

The Concept of Maududi's Caliphate

1. Al-Hakimiyyah: Sovereignty belongs to Allah

Maududi's political philosophy begins with the argument that sovereignty belongs only to Allah (Al-Hakimiyyah lillah). and the Islamic state is in fact a vicegerency, with no right to exercise authority except in subordination to and in accordance with the law revealed by Allah to His prophet. No human being—whether ruler, parliament, state or nation—possesses the right to legislate independently of divine revelation. This principle forms the cornerstone of the Islamic polity and the negation of both secular democracy and autocracy.

In Maududi's words, "In Islam, the real sovereign is Almighty God, and the government is only His vicegerent, executing His commandments." The state's authority is thus byproduct not original. It cannot change divine law but only interpret and implement it.

This concept That Shariah (i.e. Quran and Sunnah) is the supreme law and everyone from the lowest situated person to the head of the state is to be governed by it, All have the equal rights in the states. No individual, group, class or people is entitled to any special privileges, nor can any such distinction determine anyone's position as inferior. Of course this blanket equality is not given in Islam. Muslims have equal religious rights but social, economic and other rights vary according to the merits of individual or class. Similarly groups or classes have also special rights on the basis of their services.

2. Khilafah: Collective Vicegerency

For Maududi, Khilafah The government, its authority and institutions are a trust of Allah and the Muslims and ought to be entrusted to the Allah- fearing, honest and just persons; and no one has a right to exploit them in ways not sanctioned by or abhorrent to the Shariah. Citing the Qur'anic verse, "Indeed, I will make a vicegerent on earth" (2:30), he interprets vicegerency (istikhlaf) as a shared moral and political responsibility of all believers.

This marks a major shift from historical caliphal models, which were personal and muluka dynastic. Maududi's Khilafah is democratic in form but theocratic in foundation—a "Theodemocracy" where the people participate in governance but remain bound by divine law. The ruler (Amir) is elected through consultation (Shura), acts as the community's delegate, and remains accountable both to the people and to Allah.

The Structure of the Islamic Polity

Maududi developed a detailed constitutional framework to operationalize his theory of the Caliphate.

1. The Amir (Head of State)

The head of the state should be appointed on the basis of the consultation of the Muslims and their concurrence. He should run the administration and undertake legislative work within the limits prescribed by the Shariah in consultation with Muslim The Amir is the executive head, chosen by the Muslim electorate based on piety and competence. His power is constrained by three institutions: the Shariah, the Constitution, and the Majlis-eShura (Consultative Assembly). He can legislate without the Quran and sunnah

2. The Majlis-e-Shura (Consultative Assembly)

From the discussion of Maududi one gets the Impression that all Muslims should be consulted in the Matter of the Khalifah's election and the government Administration. This comprehensive and all-pervading nature Of consultation (Shura) is neither required or prescribed in Islam, nor supported by historical facts. All the four khulafa is elected by the small group of Shaba or large group of Shaba.

3. Judiciary and Law

The judiciary, independent and autonomous, acts as the guardian of the constitution. It ensures that no law contradicts the Shariah. The courts possess the authority to review executive or legislative actions—a precursor to the modern concept of judicial review.

4. Citizenship and Rights

In Maududi's polity, citizenship is moral rather than national. All Muslims are equal participants in the divine trust. According to Islamic principles, those who openly seek the position of Khilafah (Caliphate) or any other leadership office should not be appointed, as this is considered a prescribed rule in Islam. In theory, this idea appears noble and idealistic; however, from a historical and practical perspective, it has not always been applied successfully. For instance, the selection panel for the Khilafah established by Caliph Umar indicates that some members were indeed eager for the position,

This raises an important question: should a person be disqualified merely because he shows interest in such a role? In reality, this principle cannot be applied too rigidly, as sincere ambition to serve the community may not necessarily conflict with Islamic ethics.

The foremost responsibility of the Khalifah and his government is to establish an Islamic social order, to promote all that is good (ma'ruf), and to prevent all that is evil (munkar). Furthermore, it is both the right and the duty of every Muslim to ensure that wrong and harmful actions are restrained within the Islamic state.

Caliphate as a Mechanism of Justice and Reform

Maududi envisioned the Caliphate not only as a political structure but as a moral project aimed at achieving justice, equity, and social reform. He believed the decline of Muslim civilization was due to moral decay and political corruption that transformed the Khilafah into Malukiyyah (monarchy). His model sought to reverse this corruption through:

1. Moral Leadership: Governance by individuals of integrity and piety.
2. Rule of Law: Supremacy of divine law over personal or political interests.
3. Accountability: Mutual accountability between rulers and the ruled.
4. Economic Justice: Implementation of Zakat, prohibition of Riba (usury), and fair wealth distribution.
5. Gradual Reform: Establishing Islamic order through peaceful, constitutional means.

Thus, the Caliphate becomes a mechanism of moral transformation, ensuring that politics serves as a means to spiritual and social renewal.

Maududi and the Classical Tradition: Continuity and Innovation

Maududi's theory of the Caliphate stands at the crossroads between classical Islamic political theory and modern Islamist thought.

Like al-Mawardi and Ibn Khaldun, Maududi affirmed that the ruler is a guardian (hami) of religion and justice. Yet he broke from their historical realism by insisting that the Caliphate is not a historical relic but a universal principle. In this sense, his model is less descriptive and more normative—a blueprint for a future Islamic order.

He also diverged from modern secularists by rejecting nationalism and sovereignty of the people as ultimate. For him, sovereignty resides in God alone, and human authority is conditional. This synthesis gave birth to what Nasr (1996) called "a new political theology"—a fusion of Islamic revelation with modern constitutionalism.

Critical Evaluation

Despite its coherence, Maududi's model has faced several critiques:

Theological Tension: The reconciliation of divine sovereignty with democracy remains problematic. Critics like Fazlur Rahman argue that Maududi's framework, though participatory, ultimately subordinates the people's will to clerical interpretation of Shariah.

Minority Question: The Dhimmī status, though protective, conflicts with modern notions of equal citizenship.

Elitism and Authoritarian Risks: The emphasis on a morally elite vanguard can lead to exclusionary politics, as Nasr (1996) notes in his analysis of Jamaat-e-Islami.

Historical Inapplicability: Some scholars contend that Maududi's model, though idealistic, lacks feasibility in pluralist, modern states.

Nevertheless, Maududi's contribution is intellectually significant. He provided a systematic framework that reasserted Islam as a living, political civilization rather than a private faith. His reinterpretation of the Caliphate continues to inspire Islamist movements from Pakistan to Egypt, shaping the contours of political Islam in the 20th and 21st centuries.

CONCLUSION

Abul A'la Maududi's conception of the Caliphate represents one of the most ambitious efforts to reconstruct an Islamic polity in the modern era. By merging divine sovereignty with popular participation, he sought to revive the moral and political unity of the Ummah within a constitutional framework. His "Theo-democratic Caliphate" is thus both a continuation of classical Islamic thought and a creative adaptation to modern realities.

While the tensions within his synthesis—between Shariah and democracy, divine will and human agency—remain unresolved, Maududi's intellectual legacy

endures as a cornerstone of modern Islamic political philosophy. His vision of the Caliphate, despite criticism, continues to offer a compelling alternative paradigm of governance rooted in faith, justice, and divine order.

REFERENCES

- Maududi, Abul A'la. *Islamic Law and Constitution*. Lahore: Islamic Publications, 1960
- Maududi, Abul A'la. *Khilafat o Malukiyat (Caliphate and Kingship)*. Lahore: Islamic Publications, 1966.
- Nasr, Seyyed Vali Reza. *Mawdudi and the Making of Islamic Revivalism*. Oxford University Press, 1996.
- Adams, Charles J. "Mawdudi and the Islamic State." In *Voices of Resurgent Islam*, edited by John L. Esposito. Oxford University Press, 1983.
- Rahman, Fazlur. *Islam and Modernity: Transformation of an Intellectual Tradition*. University of Chicago Press, 1982.
- Al-Mawardi, Abu al-Hasan. *Al-Ahkam al-Sultaniyyah*. Cairo: Dar al-Hadith, n.d.
- Ibn Khaldun. *Al-Muqaddimah*. Beirut: Dar al-Fikr, 2004.
- Haddad, Yvonne Yazbeck. "Islamic Revivalism and the Quest for a Just Society." *Middle East Journal* 37, no. 4 (1983): 501–518.
- The Islamic Movement and its cadre . Maulana Abul Ala Maududi, New Delhi
- Islami siyaset Urdu.white dots New Delhi
- Critical study of Maududi khilaf Pakistan
- Comparative study of Maududi and Dr Asraar Lahore Pakistan